

ORDER SHEET

WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

**The Hon'ble JUSTICE RANJIT KUMAR BAG
& The Hon'ble DR. SUBESH KUMAR DAS**

Case No – CCP 38 OF 2017

SAILEN GHOSAL + 25 OTHERS Vs Shri Mukesh, IPS, The superintendent of Police, Murshidabad, Government of West Bengal.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p style="text-align: center;">10</p> <hr/> <p>28.06.2018</p>	<p><i>For the Applicants : Mr. M.N. Roy, Learned Advocate.</i></p> <p><i>For the Contemnor/O.P : Mr. B.P. Roy, Learned Advocate.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>The applicants have prayed for issuance of contempt rule for wilful violation of the order passed by the Tribunal on November 22, 2016 in OA 734 of 2016. By the said order this Tribunal gave direction to the contemnor to follow up and ensure that the prayer of the applicants for enhancement of remuneration is considered properly in terms of the Government orders issued in this regard within a period of 3 (three) weeks from the date of communication of this order.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Mr. M.N. Roy, Learned Counsel for the applicants contends that the applicants were receiving remuneration @ 2,000/- p.m. which has been enhanced to Rs. 3,000/- with effect from January 01.2018 and all the applicants have been receiving the said remuneration. The status report submitted by the contemnor indicates that the contemnor took proper steps for consideration of prayer of the applicants for enhancement of remuneration by</i></p>	

ORDER SHEET

SAILEN GHOSAL + 25 OTHERS

Form No.

Vs.

Shri Mukesh, IPS, The superintendent of Police, Murshidabad, Government of West Bengal.

Case No. CCP 38 OF 2017

sending letter to the Director General of Police, West Bengal on August 05, 2016. No Government Order is placed before us to establish that the applicants are entitled to get remuneration for more than 2,000/- p.m. before January 2018 and the applicants have already received the said remuneration.

In view of our above findings, we do not find any merit in the present application. Accordingly, the contempt application is dismissed.

**(S.K.DAS)
Member (A)**

**(R.K.BAG)
Member (J)**

H.S